disputes regarding their boundaries. It is therefore prayed that such copies may be granted in this State also.

*Reply of Government.*—*Vide* Subject No. 67.

SUBJECT No. 69.

Unassessed lands to be subdivided and assessed.

Messrs. Ramiah, Malur Taluk and Sura Sastri, Chikballapur Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Survey numbers measuring 100 to 200 acres may be divided into smaller numbers and assessed so that they may be easily obtained by darkhastdars.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 70.

Publication of Government decisions in revenue cases.

Mr. D. Raja Rao, Channagiri Taluk.

*Withdrawn.*

SUBJECT No. 71.

Removal of Khalsat on Brahmadaya Inam lands whose boundaries are noted in the original sannad.

Mr. Upadhya Sreenivasa Iyengar, Nagamangala Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—In sannads for Brahmadaya Inam lands granted by His Highness the Maharaja late Krishnaraja Wodeyar III, only beriz in *hanas* has been shown, without the mention of *bijavari*. Subsequently, during the Inam Settlement rough measurements of the lands were made and approximate areas were mentioned. These again were altered at the Survey Settlement and Khalsat was levied on the excess found in the inam holdings. Khalsat on Brahmadaya Inam lands whose boundaries are noted in the original grant may be removed as in the case of Devadaya Inam lands.

*Reply of Government.*—If specific cases are brought to notice, they will be investigated.

SUBJECT No. 72.

Reduction of assessment in the malnad during the Resurvey.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Chikmagalur Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The assessment in malnad and semi-malnad on both wet and dry lands is high. This fact was represented in previous meetings of the Assembly. It was promised at one of these meetings that at the time of Re-survey the assessment in these parts would be revised after consulting the raiyats. Effect may now be given to this promise as the Re-survey is now proceeding.

The rate of Rs. 7 to Rs. 9½ in the semi-malnad taluks is high, the rates were fixed at a time when poppy was being grown and on the basis of the yield of this crop but as the cultivation of poppy is prohibited now and as the average yield of arecanut has fallen from 40 to 20 or 25 maunds per acre, the question of reduction of assessment might be taken up at the next re-survey which is close at hand. Economic causes more than sanitary causes were at the root of the whole trouble in Malnad.

*Mr. Hosakoppa Krishna Rao* also said that the assessment on garden lands in the malnad was heavy.

The Dewan remarked that there was no improvement in the methods of cultivation.

*Mr. H. V. Rangaswami* suggested that assessment is the Belur and Manjarabad taluks and the Alur sub-taluk might be reduced as it was heavy.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 73.

Permanent Survey Settlement.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Chikmagalur Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Permanent settlement may be introduced as in Bengal, the present rates being confirmed. This will reduce the work of Government and enable it to abolish the Survey and Settlement Department. It will also be convenient to the raiyats.

*Reply of Government.*—Not possible.

SUBJECT No. 74.

Special Notice Register.

Mr. G. K. Padmarajaiya, Channarayapatna Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—An entry in the Special Notice Register of the name of a person having interest in a piece of land is effective only for five years. The period may be extended to twelve years.

*Reply of Government.*—Not necessary.

SUBJECT No. 75.

Permanent preservation of *Khirdi* Accounts.

Messrs. Puttanarasayya, Bangalore Taluk, Venkatappa, Venkataramane Gowda and Siddaiya, Kankanhalli Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Under the existing orders, the village *Khirdi* accounts are destroyed after twenty years. As these accounts are very useful for purposes of reference they may be preserved permanently or a new column opened in the *Khate* to show the name of the person who pays the kandayam.

*Reply of Government.*—Noted. Whether a record of rights may be maintained as in Bombay is under investigation.

SUBJECT No. 76.

Land Revenue receipt books.

Mr. Krishnamurtiachar, Mulbagal Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Receipt books may be printed in triplicate and receipts granted for all collections. This will ensure proper remittance of the amount to the treasury.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 77.

Receipt of Kandayam in all treasuries.

Mr. Karnik Krishnamurti Rao, Magadi Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Kandayam may be received in any treasury in the State.

*Reply of Government.*—Not feasible.

SUBJECT No. 78.

Stone *bandhs* on dry lands.

Messrs. Adinaraniah, and Chandrasekhariah, Dodballapur Taluk and S. Puttanna, Dodballapur Municipality.

*Note.*—Not discussed owing to the absence of the proposers.

SUBJECT No. 79.

Agricultural land within Municipal limits.

Mr. Surappa, Bangalore Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The rule relating to the levy of fine for using agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes within the Municipal limits, whether such lands be assigned to the Municipality or not, may be repealed in the interests of Municipal revenue and all such lands may be treated as building sites.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be considered.